1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUN	TY OF ORANGE	
10	JEFF SMITH, an individual; JULIE SMITH, an	Case No. 30-2015-00808112-CU-CD-CXC	
11	individual; on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,		
12	Plaintiffs,	FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT APPROVING CLASS ACTION	
13	VS.	SETTLEMENT AND AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES, LITIGATION	
14	PULTE HOME CORPORATION,	COSTS AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVE SERVICE	
15	Defendant.	AWARD	
16	AND RELATED CROSS-CLAIM.	Hearing Date: August 17, 2023	
17	THE RELETTED CROSS CETHVI.	Time: 2:00 p.m. Dept.: CX-101	
18		Вери СА-101	
19			
$\frac{20}{21}$			
	WHEREAS, on August 17, 2023, the Cou	rt held a hearing on the motion filed by Plaintiffs	
22	JEFF SMITH and JULIE SMITH ("Plaintiffs") for final approval of the class action settlement with		
23 ₂₄	Defendant Pulte Home Corporation ("Defendants"	'), embodied in the Parties' Class Settlement and	
25	Release (as amended), and also Plaintiffs' and Class Counsel's motion for final approval of the Class		
26	Counsel's award of attorneys' fees, litigation costs	s and class representative service award. Richard	
27	Kellner, Esq. of Kabateck LLP and Michael Artin	ian, Esq. of Bridgford Gleason & Artinian having	
28			

appeared for Plaintiffs and Joseph Ferrentino, Esq. of Newmeyer Dillion LLP and Anna McLean, Esq. of Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP having appeared for Defendants.

The Court has reviewed the final (and preliminary) approval motion papers, including the class notice and related forms, and is satisfied that the class notice procedures ordered by the Court were properly implemented. It appears to the Court that Class Members have been given notice of the Settlement and how to participate and receive their settlement shares by doing nothing, the opportunity to challenge their settlement amount, the election to exclude themselves from the Settlement, and the opportunity to comment on or object to the Settlement or any of its terms.

Having read and considered the Settlement and the papers filed in support of Plaintiffs' unopposed motion for final approval and Plaintiffs' and Class Counsel's papers requesting final approval of the Class Representative Service Award, the Class Counsel attorneys' fees, and the Class Counsel litigation costs (including the supporting declaration submitted by Makenna Snow of ILYM Group, Inc.), and the evidence and argument received by the Court on all of these motions.

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation and over all Parties to this litigation, including all Class Members. This Court shall maintain continuing jurisdiction for the purpose of construing, enforcing and administering the Settlement Agreement pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6 or as otherwise provided under statute.
- 2. The Court is satisfied that ILYM Group, Inc., which functioned as the Settlement Administrator, fully performed its duties in connection with the Settlement Notice including: (a) performing a title search on the 56 properties applicable to this settlement (b) printing and mailing the *Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement and Final Hearing, Prior Owner Verification Form, and Request for Exclusion Form* to the homeowners in the chain of title to the 56 properties; (c) receiving and processing requests for exclusion; and (d) receiving and processing Prior Owner Verification Forms, and mailing a letter to the current owner. The forgoing comports with California Rule of Court 3.766.

- a. ILYM shall also conduct an address skip trace on any returned settlement checks, with such returned checks re-mailed at least once.
- 3. The Class Notice informed the Class Members of the Settlement terms, their rights to participate in the settlement, their right to challenge their estimated Settlement Amount, their rights to exclude themselves from the Settlement, their rights to comment on or object to the Settlement, and their rights to appear at the "Final Approval Hearing", and be heard regarding approval of the Settlement. Adequate periods of time to respond to the Class Notice were provided. The Settlement Administrator reports that no Class Members filed written objections to the Settlement as part of this notice process, and no Class Members filed a written statement of intention to appear at the Final Approval Hearing. In addition, the Settlement Administrator confirms that no one requested to exclude themselves from the Settlement. Accordingly, the Court recognizes that the participation rate in this Settlement is 100%.
- 4. The notice procedure afforded adequate protections to Class Members and provides the basis for the Court to make an informed decision regarding approval of the Settlement based on the Class Members' responses. The Court determines that the notice provided in this Action was the best notice practicable, which satisfied the requirements of law and due process.
- 5. The Court grants final approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based upon the terms set forth in the Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release, as amended. All terms used herein shall have the same meaning as defined in the Settlement Agreement, and final judgment under the terms therewith.
 - 6. The Settlement and Settlement Agreement are fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class.
- 7. The Court finally certifies, for settlement purposes only, the following Settlement Class consistent with the Court's ruling granting preliminary approval on August 19, 2022:
 - (1) All present owners of residential homes in Talega whose copper pipe systems have not been replaced with PEX or epoxy coating by prior owners of the homes, or (2) prior owners of homes in Talega, California who replaced their copper pipe systems with PEX or epoxy coating, provided that: (a) the homes were constructed by Pulte Home Corporation and substantially completed within ten (10) years of the filing of the original complaint in this action (or September 14, 2005), (b) the original purchase agreements were signed by the builder on or after January 1, 2003, and (c) their SB 800 claims were not released.

8.	With respect to the Settlement Class, this Court finds that: (a) the members of the
Settlement Cl	ass are so numerous their joinder is impracticable; (b) there are questions of law and fact
common to th	e Settlement Class which predominate over any individual questions; (c) the claims of the
Plaintiffs are	typical of the claims of the Settlement Class; (d) Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have fairly
and adequatel	y represented and protected the interests of the Settlement Class; and (e) a class action is
superior to otl	ner available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

- 9. The Court finally approves the Settlement, including the individual Settlement Amounts, as being fair, adequate and reasonable to the Class and to each Class Member, Plaintiffs have satisfied the standards and applicable requirements for final approval of class action settlement under California law, including the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure Section 382, and the Court grants final approval of the Settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement. The Court orders the Parties to comply with and carry out all terms and provisions of the Settlement.
- 10. The \$125,066.66 amount requested by Plaintiffs and Class Counsel for the Class Counsel Fees Payment is fair and reasonable. The Court grants final approval of, and orders, the Class Counsel attorneys' fees payment to be made in accordance with the Settlement.
- 11. The Court finds that \$25,000.00 in Class Counsel's litigation expenses is fair and reasonable. The Court grants final approval of, and orders, the Class Counsel's litigation expenses in this amount to be made in accordance with the Settlement.
- 12. The \$12,449.68 incurred and to be incurred by ILYM Group, Inc. (the Settlement Administrator), which is less than the cap on its Administrative Expenses, is fair and reasonable. The Court grants final approval of, and orders, the Settlement Administrator be paid this amount in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.
- 13. The \$10,000.00 amount cumulatively requested by Plaintiffs for the Incentive Award is fair and reasonable given the amount of time and effort Plaintiffs expended, the benefits conferred on the Class, and the risks undertaken by them. The Court grants final approval of, and orders the Class Representative service award of \$10,000.00 collectively to Jeff and Julie Smith, to be made in accordance with the Settlement.

14. Upon entry of this Final Judgment, and in accordance with Section 5.1 of the Settlement
Agreement, as amended, all Participating Settlement Class Members fully release Defendants, Cross-
Defendants, and each and all of their past, present, and future parents, subsidiaries, subcontractors,
affiliated companies and corporations, and each and all of its respective past, present, and future
directors, officers, managers, employees, general partners, limited partners, principals, agents, insurers,
reinsurers, shareholders, attorneys, advisors, representatives, predecessors, successors, divisions, joint
ventures, assigns, or related entities, and each and all of its respective executors, successors, assigns, and
legal representatives, and any subcontractors hired by Defendant to construct or work on the homes
listed on the Class Home List and each and all of its past, present, and future parents, subsidiaries,
subcontractors, affiliated companies and corporations, and each and all of its respective past, present,
and future directors, officers, managers, employees, general partners, limited partners, principals, agents,
insurers, reinsurers, shareholders, attorneys, advisors, representatives, predecessors, successors,
divisions, joint ventures, assigns, or related entities, and each and all of its respective executors,
successors, assigns, and legal representatives, as well as any supplier, manufacturer or distributor of
copper pipe for potable water systems in the Settlement Class Members' homes and each and all of their
past, present, and future parents, subsidiaries, subcontractors, affiliated companies and corporations, and
each and all of its respective past, present, and future directors, officers, managers, employees, general
partners, limited partners, principals, agents, insurers, reinsurers, shareholders, attorneys, advisors,
representatives, predecessors, successors, divisions, joint ventures, assigns, or related entities, and each
and all of its respective executors, successors, assigns, and legal representatives, from any and all
claims, demands, rights, liabilities, suits, matters, obligations, damages, losses, costs, actions and causes
of action of every nature and description whatsoever, in law or equity, known or unknown, that the
Settlement Class Members ever had against Defendant, Cross-Defendants, or any other supplier,
manufacturer, distributor, or installer of copper plumbing lines or systems in the Settlement Class
Members' homes and their insurers, including claims for penalties, attorneys' fees and costs of such, that
arise from or in any way relate to the design, installation, repair, or use of copper plumbing lines and
systems in the homes and any alleged violations of California Civil Code § 895 et seq. arising from or in
any way relating to the design, installation, repair, or use of copper plumbing lines and systems. Without

limiting the foregoing, and for clarification, excluded from the Settled Class Claims are any *other* construction defects or *other* claims relating to the construction of the homes identified in Exhibit A to the Settlement Agreement, against any parties, including Defendants, which are not alleged in the Action.

- Defendants' rights to continue to oppose the merits of the claims in this Action or class treatment of these claims in this case if the Settlement fails to become Final or effective, or in any other case without limitation. The Settlement is not an admission by Defendants, nor is this Order and Final Judgment a finding of the validity of any allegations against Defendants in this proceeding or any wrongdoing by Defendants. Neither the Settlement nor this Final Judgment is a finding that certification of the Class may be construed as or used as an admission by or against Defendants of any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever.
- 16. Every Participating Settlement Class Member shall be bound by and only take from their Complaint the relief set forth in the Settlement, this Order Granting Final Approval and this Final Judgment. All Participating Settlement Class Members are bound to the Released Claims in favor of Defendants and the other Released Parties as set forth in the Settlement, and are permanently barred from prosecuting against Defendants and the other Released Parties any and all of Class Members' Released Claims as defined in the Settlement.
- 17. A copy of this Order Granting Final Approval of Class Settlement and Final Judgment shall, in addition to being available on the Register of Actions [docket] of this action, shall also be posted on the website established for the Settlement and shall remain on the website for a period of 6 months.
- 18. The Parties shall bear their own respective attorneys' fees and costs except as otherwise provided in the Settlement.
- 19. Upon the Settlement Effective Date, as defined in the Settlement Agreement, the Settlement Administrator shall calculate within five (5) business days the Net Settlement Fund and shall thereafter distribute the Settlement benefits to Participating Settlement Class Members from the Settlement Fund in accordance with this Order and the Settlement Agreement.

- 20. Pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769(h), the Court retains jurisdiction solely for purposes of enforcing the Settlement, this Judgment, addressing settlement administration matters, and addressing such post-Judgment matters as may be appropriate under court rules or applicable law.
- 21. The Court will hold a status conference for a final accounting on May 19, 2024 at 9:00 a.m. Class Counsel shall submit a final report at least 10 court days prior to that conference regarding the status of the settlement administration. The final report must include all information necessary for the Court to determine the total amount actually paid to class members and any amounts tendered to the State Controller's Office under Unclaimed Property law.

IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.

Dated: August 21, 2023

Hon. Peter Wilson

Judge of the Superior Court